

December 5, 2005

Exercise 1 Prove that the sample mean of a random sample of size n from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with σ^2 known is an efficient estimator of μ

Proof. $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, $Var \bar{X} = Var[\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum Var X_i = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$, $I_n(\mu) = nE[s(X_i, \mu)^2] = nE \left[\left(\frac{\partial \log f(X_i, \mu)}{\partial \mu} \right)^2 \right] = nE \left[\left(\frac{\partial \left(-\frac{(X_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \log \sqrt{2\pi\sigma} \right)}{\partial \mu} \right)^2 \right] = nE \left[\frac{(X_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^4} \right] = \frac{n}{\sigma^2}$
Hence $Var \bar{X} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \frac{1}{I_n(\mu)}$ which means it is an efficient estimator of μ . ■

Exercise 2 Prove that the sample mean of a random sample of size n from $b(1, \theta)$ is an efficient estimator of θ

Proof. $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} b(1, \theta)$, $p \equiv \theta$ $Var \bar{X} = Var[\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum Var X_i = \frac{p}{n}(1-p)$
 $I_n(p) = -nE \left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f(X_i, p)}{\partial p^2} \right] = -nE \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \frac{\partial (\log [p^{x_i} (1-p)^{1-x_i}])}{\partial p} \right] =$
 $= -nE \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(X_i \frac{\partial \log p}{\partial p} + (1-X_i) \frac{\partial \log(1-p)}{\partial p} \right) \right] = -nE \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(X_i \frac{1}{p} + (1-X_i) \frac{1}{1-p} \right) \right]$
 $= -nE \left[-X_i \frac{1}{p^2} + (1-X_i) \frac{1}{(1-p)^2} \right] = -n \left[-p \frac{1}{p^2} + (1-p) \frac{1}{(1-p)^2} \right] = \frac{n}{p(1-p)}$
Hence $Var \bar{X} = \frac{p}{n}(1-p) = \frac{1}{I_n(p)}$ which means it is an efficient estimator of θ . ■

Exercise 3 Let X have a gamma distribution with $\alpha = 4$ and $\beta = \theta$.

(a) Find the Fisher information $I(\theta)$.

(b) If X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample from this distribution, show that the MLE is an efficient estimator of θ .

Proof. $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \Gamma(4, \theta)$, $Var \bar{X} = Var[\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum Var X_i = \frac{4\theta^2}{n}$
 $I_n(\theta) = -nE \left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f(X_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = -nE \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial (\log [\frac{1}{6\theta^4} X_i^3 \exp(-\frac{X_i}{\theta})])}{\partial \theta} \right] =$
 $= -nE \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial [-4 \log \theta - \frac{X_i}{\theta} + C]}{\partial \theta} \right) \right] = -nE \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(-\frac{4}{\theta} + \frac{X_i}{\theta^2} \right) \right] = -nE \left[\frac{4}{\theta^2} - 2\frac{X_i}{\theta^3} \right] =$
 $= -n \left[\frac{4}{\theta^2} - \frac{2}{\theta^3} 4\theta \right] = \frac{4n}{\theta^2}$
 $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \arg \max_{\theta} [\sum \log [\frac{1}{6\theta^4} X_i^3 \exp(-\frac{X_i}{\theta})]] = \{ \theta \mid \sum (-\frac{4}{\theta} + \frac{X_i}{\theta^2}) = 0 \} = \frac{\bar{X}}{4}$
Hence $Var \frac{\bar{X}}{4} = \frac{1}{16} \frac{4\theta^2}{n} = \frac{1}{4n}$ which means $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ is an efficient estimator of θ . ■

December 5, 2005

Exercise 1 Let X_1, \dots, X_{10} be a random sample from $N(0, \sigma^2)$. Find a best critical region of size $\alpha = 5\%$ for testing $H_0 : \sigma^2 = 1$ against $H_1 : \sigma^2 = 2$. Is this a best critical region for testing against $H_1 : \sigma^2 = 4$? Against $H_1 : \sigma^2 = \sigma_1^2 > 1$?

Proof.
$$\frac{L(\sigma_1; x_1, \dots, x_n)}{L(\sigma_0; x_1, \dots, x_n)} = \frac{(1/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1^2})^n \exp[-(\sum_i x_i^2)/2\sigma_1^2]}{(1/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0^2})^n \exp[-(\sum_i x_i^2)/2\sigma_0^2]} = \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}\right)^n \exp\left[\frac{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_0^2}{2\sigma_0^2\sigma_1^2} \sum_i x_i^2\right]$$

if $\sigma_1 > \sigma_0$ then $\frac{L(\sigma_1; x_1, \dots, x_n)}{L(\sigma_0; x_1, \dots, x_n)} \geq k \Leftrightarrow \sum_i x_i^2 \geq c, \quad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{\sigma_0^2} \sim \chi^2(n)$

Critical region $C = \{x | \sum_i x_i^2 > \sigma_0^2 \cdot \chi_{0.05}^2(10)\} = \{x | \sum_i x_i^2 > 18.307\}$ is independent of σ_1 .

Hence this is the best critical region for any $\sigma_1 > \sigma_0$. ■

Exercise 2 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with pdf $f(x) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$, $0 < x < 1$, zero elsewhere. Show that the best critical region for testing $H_0 : \theta = 1$ against $H_1 : \theta = 2$ takes the form $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \geq c\}$

Proof.
$$\frac{L(\theta_1; x_1, \dots, x_n)}{L(\theta_0; x_1, \dots, x_n)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (\theta_1 x_i^{\theta_1-1})}{\prod_{i=1}^n (\theta_0 x_i^{\theta_0-1})} = \left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_0}\right)^n (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\theta_1 - \theta_0}$$

if $\theta_1 > \theta_0$ then $\frac{L(\theta_1; x_1, \dots, x_n)}{L(\theta_0; x_1, \dots, x_n)} \geq k \Leftrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \geq c$ ■

Exercise 3 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $N(\theta, 100)$. Find a best critical region of size $\alpha = 5\%$ for testing $H_0 : \theta = 75$ against $H_1 : \theta = 78$.

Proof.
$$\frac{L(\sigma_1; x_1, \dots, x_n)}{L(\sigma_0; x_1, \dots, x_n)} = \exp\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} [\sum_i (x_i - \theta_0)^2 - \sum_i (x_i - \theta_1)^2] = \exp\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_0}{\sigma^2} \sum_i (x_i - \frac{\theta_0 + \theta_1}{2})$$

if $\theta_1 > \theta_0$ then $\frac{L(\theta_1; x_1, \dots, x_n)}{L(\theta_0; x_1, \dots, x_n)} \geq k \Leftrightarrow \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i \geq c, \quad \sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{x} - \theta}{10} \sim N(0, 1)$

Critical region $C = \{x | \bar{x} > 75 + \frac{10}{\sqrt{n}} u_{0.05}\} = \{x | \bar{x} > 75 + \frac{16.45}{\sqrt{n}}\}$ is independent of θ_1 .

Hence this is the best critical region for any $\theta_1 > \theta_0 = 75$. ■

December 5, 2005

Exercise 1 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. $N(0, \theta)$. Show that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ is a sufficient statistic for θ

Proof. $f(x, \theta) = \exp\left[-\frac{\sum_i X_i^2}{2\theta^2}\right] \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta^2}}\right)^n = k_1(u(x), \theta)k_2(\theta)$

By factorization theorem $u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$ is a sufficient statistic. ■

Exercise 2 Prove that the sum of the observations of a random sample of size n from a Poisson distribution with mean θ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Proof. $X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta) \Rightarrow u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sim \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Poisson}(\theta) = \text{Poisson}(\sum_{i=1}^n \theta) = \text{Poisson}(n\theta)$

$$\frac{f(x, \theta)}{g(u(x), \theta)} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta^{x_i} e^{-\theta}}{x_i!}}{\frac{(n\theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} e^{-n\theta}}{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)!}} = \frac{1}{n^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}} \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)!}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} = h(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Sufficient by definition.} \quad \blacksquare$$

Exercise 3 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. from a distribution with pdf $f(x) = (1 - \theta)^x \theta$, $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, zero elsewhere. Show that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ

Proof. $f(x, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \theta)^{X_i} \theta = (1 - \theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \theta^n = k_1(u(x), \theta)k_2(\theta)$

By factorization theorem $u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic. ■

Exercise 4 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. from a beta distribution with parameters $\alpha = \theta$ and $\beta = 2$. Show that the product $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ

Proof. $f(x, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\theta+2)}{\Gamma(\theta)\Gamma(2)} x_i^{\theta-1} (1-x_i)^{2-1} = [(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{\theta-1} - (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^\theta] [\theta(\theta+1)]^n = k_1(u(x), \theta)k_2(\theta)$

By factorization theorem $u(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic. ■

Exercise 5 In Exercises 1, 2, 3, and 4, show that the MLE of θ is a function of the sufficient statistic for θ .

Proof. 1) $\hat{\theta}_{ML} = \arg \max_{\theta} \left[-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{2\theta^2} - n \log \theta\right] = \left\{ \theta \mid \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}{\theta^3} = \frac{n}{\theta} \right\} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} u(x)}$

2) $\hat{\theta}_{ML} = \arg \max_{\theta} [\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \log \theta - n\theta] = \left\{ \theta \mid \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\theta} = n \right\} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \frac{1}{n} u(x)$

3) $\hat{\theta}_{ML} = \arg \max_{\theta} [\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \log(1 - \theta) + n\theta] = \left\{ \theta \mid \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{1 - \theta} = n \right\} = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = 1 - \frac{1}{n} u(x)$

4) $\hat{\theta}_{ML} = \arg \max_{\theta} \left[\log \theta + \log(\theta + 1) + \theta \frac{\log \prod_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}\right] = \left\{ \theta \mid \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta+1} = -\frac{\log \prod_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} \right\}$

Hence, $\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{ML}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{ML}+1} + \frac{\log u(x)}{n} = 0$ and $\hat{\theta}_{ML}$ is a function of the sufficient statistic. ■

Exercise 6 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. from a distribution with pdf $f(x) = \theta \exp(-\theta x)$, $x > 0$, zero elsewhere. Show that $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ . Prove that $\frac{n-1}{Y}$ is the unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ

Proof. $f(x, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta \exp(-\theta x_i) = \exp(-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + n \log \theta) = \exp[p(\theta)K(X) + q(\theta)]$ - a regular exponential p.d.f. Hence $Y = K(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic.

$$E[X] = \int_0^{\infty} x \theta \exp(-\theta x) dx = \theta \int_0^{\infty} x e^{-x\theta} dx = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

$$E[X^2] = \theta \int_0^{\infty} x^2 e^{-x\theta} dx = 2 \int_0^{\infty} x e^{-x\theta} dx = \frac{2}{\theta^2} \quad \text{Var}[X] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2 = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$$

$$E[Y] = \frac{n}{\theta} \quad \text{Var}[Y] = \frac{n}{\theta^2}$$

Y (as a sum of exponential distributions) has a gamma distribution with

$$\{\alpha\beta = \frac{n}{\theta}, \alpha\beta^2 = \frac{n}{\theta^2}\} \Leftrightarrow \{\alpha = n, \beta = \frac{1}{\theta}\}$$

$$E[\frac{1}{Y}] = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} x^{\alpha-2} e^{-x/\beta} dx = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-1)\beta^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} = \frac{1}{\beta(\alpha-1)} = \frac{\theta}{n-1}$$

Hence $\frac{n-1}{Y}$ is an unbiased estimator of θ .

By Lehman-Scheffe Theorem it is a unique unbiased minimum variance estimator of θ . ■